Tool Qualification

 \cap

SC-205 Perspective

Leanna Rierson August 2008

Presentation Overview

0

• Issues with the current tool qualification approach

- Objectives of SG3 (tool qualification team)
- Overview of SC-205/WG-71 tool qualification approach
- Overview of proposed DO-178C/ED-12C section 12.2
- Overview of tool qualification document
- Summary

Issues With Current Tool Qualification

Home

- DO-178B approach only addresses "development" and "verification" tools.
 - DO-178B criteria is difficult to apply for development tools. Each qualification effort makes its own assumptions.
 - Some DO-178B objectives don't make sense for tools (e.g., target computer items).
 - Tool reuse is difficult, since qualification is performed on a project-by-project basis.
 - It is difficult to qualify COTS tools especially development tools.
 - Current criteria is very oriented to airborne software tools (challenging to apply to other domains such as systems, databases, etc.)

Tool Qualification Team's Objectives

- Develop a qualification approach that addresses the development tool qualification issues
- Maintain DO-178B approach for traditional verification tools (as much as possible)
- Develop an approach that will support emerging tool technologies
- Provide an approach that enables reuse of tool qual credit on multiple projects
- Identify general tool user and tool developer roles (in order to address integration of the tool into the development environment, support reuse, and help with COTS tools)
- Develop an approach which is clear but flexible for users
- Develop an objective-based approach (helps with reuse & flexibility)
- Provide an approach that <u>may</u> be adopted by multiple domains (if they desire to use it)

Note: These objectives are based on the SC-205 issues list.

Help

SC-205 Approach for Tool Qual

Home

Previou

Nex

Hel

DO-178C/ED-12C Section 12.2:

Identifies the need to qualify
Explains how to determine the required "level" of qualification

(2-3 pages)

Supplement

- •Title "Tool Qualification Guidance"
- Address "how" to qualify tools
- Objective-based
- •Objectives applicable to each level
- Domain independent (secondary)
- •Glossary of terms in addition to DO-178C/ED-12C

(50-70 pages)

Other Domain or

- Supplements, e.g.:
- •Formal Methods
- Model Based
- Object-Oriented
- •Hardware
- •Systems
- Database
- •IMA
- •Etc.

0

SC-205 Approach for Tool Qualification (cont)

Home

- Dravious
- Section 12.2 and Tool Qual document have been developed and major portions have been approved by plenary
- The Tool Qual document is objective-based
- Like DO-178B, the tool qual approach is built upon five tool qualification levels
 - Four levels parallel the current development tools
 - The fifth level is equivalent to the current verification tool criteria
- The Tool Qual document implements tool guidance from DO-178B, DO-248B, and Order 8110.49

0

Не

Overview of Proposed Section 12.2

Home

- Previous
- Next
- Help

- 12.2.1 Determine need for tool qual
 - when processes (objectives) of this document are eliminated, reduced or automated by the use of a software tool without its output being verified as specified in section 6

- 12.2.2 Determine tool qual level
 - assess the impact of the tool in the software life cycle

Overview of Proposed Section 12.2 (cont)

Three criteria to assess tool impact:

Previous

- 1. A tool whose output is part of the resulting software and thus could insert an error.
- 2. A tool that automates a verification process(es) and whose output is used to justify the elimination or reduction of:
 - verification process(es) other than that automated by the tool, or
 - development process(es) (which could have an impact on the resulting software).
- 3. A tool that, within the scope of its intended use, could fail to detect an error.

Overview of Proposed Section 12.2 (cont)

Level Assignment:

Software Level	Criteria		
	1	2	3
Α	TQL-1	TQL-4	TQL-5
В	TQL-2	TQL-4	TQL-5
С	TQL-3	TQL-5	TQL-5
D	TQL-4	TQL-5	TQL-5

0

Tool Qualification Document Outline

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. PURPOSE OF TOOL QUALIFICATION
- 3. TOOL QUALIFICATION LEVELS
- 4. TOOL QUALIFCATION PLANNING PROCESS
- 5. TOOL DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES
- 6. TOOL VERIFICATION PROCESS
- 7. TOOL CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PROCESS
- 8. TOOL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS
- 9. TOOL QUALIFCATION LIAISON PROCESS
- **10. TOOL QUALIFICATION DATA**

11. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR TOOL QUALIFICATION

Annex A – TOOL QUALIFICATION OBJECTIVES

Annex B – GLOSSARY

Annex C – Frequently Asked Questions and Discussion Papers on Tool Qualification

0

-

Help

1. Introduction / 2. Purpose

0

1. Introduction

- Explains purpose of the document
- Provides an overview of the document
- 2. Purpose of Tool Qual
- The purpose of the tool qualification process is to obtain confidence in the tool functionality.

3. Tool Qualification Levels

Home

- Previou
- Next
- Help

- The required qualification level of a tool is determined based on the tool use and its potential impact on the overall development process and system safety.
- Determined by section 12.2 criteria

Sections 4 to 10: Tool Qualification Processes

Home

Processes are similar to the DO178B/ED12B processes

- 4. Tool Qualification Planning Process
- 5. Tool Development Processes
- 6. Tool Verification Process
- 7. Tool Configuration Management Process
- 8. Tool Quality Assurance Process
- 9. Tool Qualification Liaison Process
- 10. Tool Qualification Data

Sections 4 to 10: Tool Qualification Processes

- Variations from the DO-178B processes for the tool qualification process:
 - Data items are named to address tools rather than airborne software (e.g., Tool Qualification Plan instead of Plan for Software Aspects of Certification).
 - User needs (a.k.a. system requirements) are documented as Tool Operational Requirements.
 - Tool requirements trace to the tool operational requirements.
 - Low-level requirements are only required if needed.

Previous

- Derived requirements are evaluated for impact on the user, rather than the safety assessment process.
- "Tool operational environment" is used instead of "target computer".
- Structural coverage gives more flexibility and focuses on unintended functionality
- Attempts to be domain-independent (to be used by other domains)

Ó

Delineation of the tool usage and tool development (to support reusability)

(cont)

11. Additional Considerations

- 11.1 Multi-function Tools
- 11.2 Previously Qualified Tools
 - 11.2.1 Changes to previously qualified tools
 - 11.2.2 Reuse of previously qualified tool data
- 11.3 Qualifying COTS Tools
- 11.4 Service History
- 11.5 Alternative Methods

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-0 (Tool Operational Process)

Õ

Home

Previou

- 1. *The tool qualification need is established. (Planning)
- 2. *Tool Operational Requirements are defined. (Requirements)
- 3. *Tool Executable Object Code is installed in the Tool Operational Environment. (Integration)
- 4. *Tool Operational Requirements are complete, accurate and consistent. (V&V)
- 5. *Tool operation complies with the Tool Operational Requirements. (V&V)
- 6. *Tool adequacy is ensured in the Tool Operational Requirements. (V&V)
- *Ensure software life cycle process needs are met. (V&V)

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-1 (Tool Qualification Planning)

0

- 1. Tool development and integral processes activities are defined.
- 2. Transition criteria, inter-relationships, and sequencing among processes of tool processes are defined.
- 3. Tool Development Environment is defined.
- 4. Additional considerations are addressed.
- 5. Tool development standards are defined.
- 6. Tool plans comply with this supplement.
- 7. Tool plans are coordinated.

Previous

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-2 (Tool Development)

- 1. Tool Requirements are developed.
- 2. Derived tool requirements are defined.
- 3. Tool architecture is developed.
- 4. Low-level Tool Requirements are developed.
- 5. Low-level derived tool requirements are defined.
- 6. Tool Source Code is developed.
- 7. Tool Executable Object Code is produced.
- 8. Tool is installed in the Tool Verification Environment.

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-3 (Requirements Verification)

- 1. Tool Requirements comply with Tool Operational Requirements.
- 2. Tool Requirements are accurate and consistent.
- 3. *Requirements for compatibility with the tool operational environment are defined.
- 4. *Tool Requirements define the behavior of the tool in response to error conditions.
- 5. *Tool Requirements define user guidelines, instructions, and error messages.
- 6. Tool Requirements are verifiable.
- 7. Tool Requirements conform to Tool Requirements Standards.
- 8. Tool Requirements are traceable to Tool Operational Requirements

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-4 (Design Verification)

- 1. Low-level Tool requirements comply with Tool Requirements
- 2. Low-level Tool requirements are accurate and consistent.
- 3. Low-level Tool requirements are verifiable.
- 4. Low-level Tool requirements conform to Tool Design Standards.
- 5. Low-level Tool requirements are traceable to Tool Requirements.
- 6. Algorithms are accurate.
- 7. Tool architecture is compatible with Tool Requirements.

0

- 8. Tool architecture is consistent.
- 9. Tool architecture conforms to Tool Design Standards.
- 10. Protection mechanism, if used, is confirmed.
- 11. *External Component interface is correct.

Hel

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-5 (Code Verification)

- 1. Tool Source Code complies with low-level tool requirements.
- 2. Tool Source Code complies with tool architecture.
- 3. Tool Source Code is verifiable.
- 4. Tool Source Code conforms to Tool Code Standards.
- 5. Tool Source Code is traceable to low-level tool requirements.
- 6. Tool Source Code is accurate and consistent
- 7. Output of tool integration process is complete and correct.

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-6 (Testing of Tool Integration Outputs)

Home

- Previous
- 1. Tool Executable Object Code complies with Tool Requirements
- 2. Tool Executable Object Code is robust with Tool Requirements
- 3. Tool Executable Object Code complies with Low-Level Tool Requirements.
- 4. Tool Executable Object Code is robust with Low-Level Tool Requirements.

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-7 (Verification of Verification)

0

- 1. Test procedures are correct.
- 2. Test results are correct and discrepancies explained.
- 3. Test coverage of Tool Requirements is achieved.
- 4. Test coverage of Low-Level Tool Requirements is achieved.
- 5. *Analysis of requirements-based testing of external software functions is achieved.
- 6. *Analysis of requirements-based testing (structural coverage to the level of decision coverage) is achieved.
- 7. *Analysis of requirements-based testing (structural coverage to the level of statement coverage) is achieved.
- 8. *Analysis of requirements-based testing (data coupling and control coupling) is achieved.

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-8 (Configuration Management)

- 1. Configuration items are identified.
- 2. Baselines and traceability are established.
- 3. Problem reporting, change control, change review, and configuration status accounting are established.
- 4. Archive, retrieval, and release are established.
- 5. Tool Development Environment control is established.

Home

- Assurance is obtained that tool development and integral processes comply with approved tool plans and tool standards.
- 2. Assurance is obtained that transition criteria for the tool life cycle processes are satisfied.
- 3. Tool conformity review is conducted.

Annex A – Tool Qualification Objectives: Table T-10 (Tool Qualification Liaison)

0

Home

- 1. Communication and understanding between the applicant and the approval authority is established.
- 2. The means of compliance is proposed and agreement is obtained.
- 3. Compliance substantiation is provided.
- 4. *Impact of known problems on the Tool Operational Requirements is identified and analyzed.



ноте

- Previous
- Most of Tool Qual Supplement has been approved by plenary
- Areas still in work:
 - Criteria 2 rationale
 - Structural coverage approach
 - Leveling of objectives
 - COTS tool guidance
 - Frequently Asked Questions & Discussion Papers
- Could have some "ripples" as DO-178C/ED-12C changes

0

• Working toward completion in mid-2009